The 2019 Ozone Cross-Section Consensus Value at 253.65 nm

Joseph T. Hodges, National Institute of Standards and Technology



5 Institute of Environmental Physics, Bremen University, Bremen, Germany ⁶ LERMA-IPSL, Sorbonne Université, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Université, CNRS, Paris, France

- Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS), Daejeon, Republic of Korea
- 8 NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, United States of America
- ⁹ Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI), Helsinki, Finland

E-mail: jviallon@bipm.org (J Viallon)

IOP Publishing

Metrologia 56 (2019) 034001 (1200

and R I Wielgosz²

United States of America

Implementing a Globally Coordinated Change in Ozone Cross Section Value for Surface Ozone Monitoring Virtual Workshop, (BIPM), October 5-9, 2020

Standard Reference Photometers (SRP) for Measurements of Ground-Level Ozone



 O_3 measurements are linked to NIST-made SRPs maintained at NIST and BIPM

Over 60 SRPs are deployed world-wide

Basis for quantifying compliance with environmental regulations

Range (1 – 1000 nmol/mol) in air

Cross section has an uncertainty of 1.9 %.

Standard Reference Photometer Measurement Principle

SRP instruments are certified by comparison to primary SRPs for which the ozone mole fraction, x_{O3} , and its combined uncertainty, $u(x_{O3})$, are derived from the Beer-Lambert law and measured *T*, and *p* of the sample; the Boltzmann constant, k_B ; pathlength, *L*; and the 1961 Hearn value for the ozone absorption cross-section at 253.65 nm (air), σ .



Guidelines for Data Analysis & Review

 Identified a set of 14 independent, peer-reviewed 254-nm cross section measurements for O₃

<u>Selection Criteria</u>
Publication dates 1950 – 2016
Room temperature (295 K ± 2.5 K) cross section data
Cross-section explicitly indicated in publication or inferred via simple calculation
For repeated measurements by a group, only the last published value will be used

- Data were not corrected. Known bias was introduced via uncertainty; asymmetric components considered
- Uncertainties were evaluated according to GUM (1995). In some cases, this required introducing additional uncertainty not specified in the original publication

Studies Considered

Table 1.	List of the four	rteen independent	publications s	elected to calc	culate the c	consensus v	value of the o	ozone absorption	cross-section at
253.65 m	m.								

Identification	Author(s)	Traceability	Sample purity
AFCRC-59 [35]	Inn and Tanaka	Ozone pressure	Assumed pure
Hearn-61 [3]	Hearn	Ozone pressure	Degradation to O ₂ considered
JPL-64 [33]	De More and Raper	Oxygen pressure	Assumed full conversion of O ₃ to O ₂
Griggs-68 [34]	Griggs	Ozone pressure	Assumed pure
JPL-86 [39]	Molina and Molina	Ozone pressure	Assumed pure
UniMin-87 [37]	Mauersberger et al	Ozone pressure	Assessed by mass spectrometry
HSCA-88 [41]	Yoshino et al	Ozone pressure	Assumed pure
UniReims-93 [32]	Daumont et al	Ozone pressure	Degradation to O ₂ considered
UniBremen-99 [31]	Burrows et al	* NO ₂ cross-section via GPT	NA air sample/SRP
UPMC-04[44]	Dufour <i>et al</i>	Ozone pressure	Assumed pure
NIES-06 [10]	Tanimoto et al	* NO/N ₂ standards via GPT	NA air sample/SRP
UniBremen-14 [45]	Gorshelev et al	Ozone pressure	Degradation to O ₂ considered
BIPM-15 [26]	Viallon <i>et al</i>	Ozone pressure	Assessed by residual pressure
			measurements
BIPM-16 [11]	Viallon et al	* NO/N ₂ standards via GPT	NA air sample/SRP

*GPT: Gas-phase titration of the NO + $O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$ reaction

Uncertainties

Common to all measurements:

Type A: repeatability

Type B : pressure, temperature, optical pathlength, absorbance

$$u_{r,tot} = (u_{r,1}^2 + u_{r,2}^2 + ...)^{1/2}$$

Experiment-specific:

sample purity. [(Mauersberger 1987, ... Viallon 2015)] GPT with gas standards: [Tanimoto (2006), Viallon (2015)] GPT with NO_2 absorption cross section: [Burrows (1999) intensity of IR rovibrational transition of O_3 : [Dufour (2004)] effect of multiple reflections (Inn & Tanaka, Hearn, DeMore, Griggs, Molina Mauersberger, Yoshino, Brion, Gorshelev)

Final Monte Carlo & DerSimonian Laird Statistical Analysis



Composite Distribution Function



Weighted analysis leads to narrower, moresymmetric distribution

Final pdf is still slightly skewed

Studies Contributing to 90% of the Cumulative Weight



rank

Proposed Change in Ozone Cross-Section at 254 nm

<u>Ref.</u>	<u>σ (</u> 10 ⁻¹⁷ cm²/molecule)	<u>w.r.t. to Hearn</u>	<u>rel. std. unc</u> . (%)
¹ Hearn-61	1.147		1.9
Hodges/CCQM	1.1329	-1.23 %	0.31

This work reduces uncertainty in the ozone absorption cross-section by factors of 6.1 and 2.6, respectively compared to those of Hearn and ACSO.

Hearn A. G., Proc. Phys. Soc. 78, 932-940 (1961)
 WMO/GAW No. 218, Absorption Cross Sections of Ozone (ACSO) Status Report, (2015)

Impact of New Cross Section on SRP uncertainty



Impact of Consensus Cross-Section on Air-Quality Compliance



Figure 3. The percent increase in the number of sites that are out of compliance with air quality regulations due to the adjusted ozone abundances suggested by the new Viallon et al. (2015) cross section for the EU, the United States, and Canada between 1990 and 2012. Shaded regions indicate the uncertainty in the number of non-compliant sites associated with the 2 standard deviation uncertainty in the Viallon et al. cross section.

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13627–13632, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/13627/2015/ doi:10.5194/acp-15-13627-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Updated ozone absorption cross section will reduce air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen¹, M. J. Evans^{1,2}, and A. C. Lewis^{1,2}

¹Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK ²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

Correspondence to: E. D. Sofen (esofen@gmail.com)

$$x_{ozone} = -\frac{ln(A)}{\ell} \frac{k_B T}{p} \frac{1}{\sigma} - \text{cross-section}$$

Based on BIPM-15, where x-sec = 1.8 % less than the Hearn-61 value

The new consensus value for the x-sec is 1.23 % less than the Hearn-61 value

Additional exceedances driven by reassignment of the x-sec will be $\sim 2/3$ that predicted by Sofen et al.

Measurements of Atmospheric Ozone across the Electromagnetic Spectrum





MLS



microwave

wavelength

12

Unifying the Spectroscopic Properties of Ozone



Consistency of Cross Sections within the UV



Consistency between UV, IR and MW Measurements and laboratory validation and with Theory

Ab initio predictions and laboratory validation for consistent ozone intensities in the MW, 10 and 5 μ m ranges



ab initio calculations of O_3 cross-sections were compared to UV and IR measurements that were scaled by CCQM/Hodges value at 254 nm

Calculations agree with IR and MW measurements at 1 % level

Resolves 4 % level discrepancies in IR and UV measurements

Demonstrates consistency from UV to IR to MW regions

IR databases (e.g. HITRAN) to be updated accordingly

Summary

The Hodges/CCQM ozone absorption cross section at 254 nm is 1.23 % <u>smaller</u> than the current (Hearn) value, and therefore will <u>increase</u> field measurements of ozone mole fraction by 1.23 %

The uncertainty of this cross section has been reduced by a factor of 6 to ~0.3 %

This cross section is a key photometric reference point that contributes to SI traceability and a recently achieved percent-level consistency in laboratory ozone measurements and theory spanning the UV to MW regions of the electromagnetic spectrum.

Adoption of this cross section along with other reference values will help unify local and global measurements of atmospheric ozone.

Thanks !